REMARKS

The above amendments to the above-captioned application along with the following remarks are being submitted as a full and complete response to the Office Action dated July 27, 2005. In view of the above amendments and the following remarks, the Examiner is respectfully requested to give due reconsideration to this application, to indicate the allowability of the claims, and to pass this case to issue.

Status of the Claims

As outlined above, claims 1-9 are currently pending in this application,, wherein claims 2 and 7 are being amended to correct formal errors and to more particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject invention.

Additional Amendments

The abstract is being amended to correct formal errors and to better disclose and describe the features of the present invention as claimed. Applicant hereby submits that no new matter is being introduced into the application through the submission of this response.

Formal Objections or Rejections

Claims 7 - 9 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, for being indefinite. In particular, language was cited in claim 7 which lacked proper antecedent basis. In view of the amendment to claim 7 as outlined above, it is submitted that this formal rejection is rendered moot.

Allowable Subject Matter

As indicated by the Examiner, claims 1-6 were allowed, and claims 7-9 would be allowed pending the amendment of the claims to overcome the formal rejection. In view of the above-outlined amendments, Applicants will contend that all the claims of record are in condition for allowance.

Conclusion

In view of all the above, Applicant respectfully submits that certain clear and distinct differences as discussed exist between the present invention as now claimed and the prior art as a whole. These differences are more than sufficient that the present invention as now

claimed would not have been anticipated nor rendered obvious given the prior art. Rather, the present invention as a whole is distinguishable, and thereby allowable over the prior art.

Favorable reconsideration of this application as amended is respectfully solicited. Should there be any outstanding issues requiring discussion that would further the prosecution and allowance of the above-captioned application, the Examiner is invited to contact the Applicant's undersigned representative at the address and phone number indicated below.

Respectfully submitted,

Stanley P. Fisher

Registration Number 24,344

Juan Carlos A. Marquez Registration Number 34,072

REED SMITH LLP 3110 Fairview Park Drive Suite 1400 Falls Church, Virginia 22042 (703) 641-4200

October 24, 2005